Applicant(s) Application No.

Interview Summary	10/599,143	HU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SHAWQUIA YOUNG	1626	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>SHAWQUIA YOUNG</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Dixon</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 15 January 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The above attorney authorized amendment.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MIPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Shawquia Young/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626			
S. Datent and Trademark Office			